	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 23-10063-shl
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	GENESIS GLOBAL HOLDCO, LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	
12	United States Bankruptcy Court
13	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
14	White Plains, NY 10601
15	
16	February 22, 2023
17	11:11 AM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SEAN H. LANE
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

Page 2 1 HEARING re SECOND DAY HEARING 2 3 HEARING re Doc. #89 Notice Of Agenda 4 5 HEARING re Doc. #9 (Taxes And Fees) Motion To Pay Taxes 6 /Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Orders 7 Authorizing The Payment Of Certain Taxes And Fees 8 9 HEARING re Doc. #11 (Critical Vendor) Motion To Authorize / 10 Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Orders (I) 11 Authorizing, But Not Directing, The Debtors To Pay Certain 12 Prepetition Claims Of Critical Vendors And Foreign Vendors, 13 (II) Authorizing And Directing Financial Institutions To 14 Honor and Process Checks And Transfers Related To 15 Such Claims And (III) Granting Related Relief 16 17 HEARING re Doc. #16 (Employee Wages) Motion To Authorize / 18 Motion Of Genesis Asia Pacific PTE. LTD. For Entry of 19 Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing Genesis Asia 20 Pacific PTE. LTD. To (A) Pay Certain Employee Wages and 21 Other Compensation and Related Obligations and (B) Maintain 22 and Continue Employee Benefits and Programs in the Ordinary 23 Course, and (II) Authorizing and Directing Applicable Banks 24 to Honor All Transfers Related to Such Obligations 25

Page 3 1 HEARING re Doc. #64 (Interim Compensation) Motion To 2 Authorize Procedures For Interim Compensation And 3 Reimbursement Of Expenses Of Professionals 4 5 HEARING re Doc. #65 (Ordinary Course Professional) 6 Application To Employ Professionals Used In The Ordinary 7 Course Of Business Nunc Pro Tune To The Petition Date 8 9 HEARING re Doc. #69 Application To Employ Cleary Gottlieb 10 Steen & Hamilton LLP As Counsel For The Debtors And Debtors-11 In-Possession Nunc Pro Tune To The Petition Date 12 13 HEARING re Doc. #72 Application To Employ Kroll 14 Restructuring Administration LLC As Administrative Advisor 15 Nunc Pro Tune To The Petition Date 16 17 HEARING re Doc. #71 Application To Employ Morrison Cohen LLP 18 As Special Counsel To The Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession 19 Effective Nunc Pro Tune To The Petition Date 20 21 HEARING re Doc. #73 Application To Employ Alvarez & Marsal 22 North America, LLC As Financial Advisors To Debtors And 23 Debtors In Possession 24 25

Page 4 1 HEARING re Doc. #70 Application To Employ Kobre & Kim LLP As 2 Special Litigation Counsel Filed By Danielle L. Rose On 3 Behalf Of Genesis Global Holdco, LLC 4 HEARING re Doc. #15 (Cash Management) Motion To Authorize / 5 6 Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Order (I) 7 Authorizing Debtors To Continue To Operate The Existing 8 Cash Management System, Including Existing Bank Accounts, 9 Honor Certain Prepetition Obligations Related Thereto, and 10 Maintain Existing Business Forms; (II) Permitting Continued 11 Intercompany Transactions and Granting Certain Administrative Claims; (III) Extending the Time to Comply 12 13 with the Requirements of Section 345 of the Bankruptcy 14 Code and (IV) Granting Related Relief 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1	1 g 3 01 30
	Page 5
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	One Liberty Plaza
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	BY: JANE VANLARE
9	HOORI KIM
10	RICHARD MINOTT
11	MICHAEL WEINBERG
12	CHRISTIAN RIBEIRO
13	SEAN O'NEAL
14	
15	WHITE & CASE LLP
16	Attorneys for Official Committee
17	1221 Avenue of the Americas
18	New York, NY 10020
19	
20	BY: J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE
21	GREGORY F. PESCE
22	PHILIP ABELSON
23	COLIN WEST
24	
25	

	Page 6
1	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
2	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of GGC Lenders
3	Eleven Times Square
4	New York, NY 10036
5	
6	BY: BRIAN ROSEN
7	
8	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
9	Attorneys for the Ad Hoc Group
10	601 Lexington Avenue
11	New York, NY 10022
12	
13	BY: ROSS FIELDER
14	
15	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
16	Attorneys for Digital Currency Group
17	767 Fifth Avenue
18	New York, NY 10153
19	
20	BY: JEFFREY SAFERSTEIN
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
2	Attorneys for Gemini Trust Company, LLC
3	One Battery Park Plaza
4	New York, NY 10004
5	
6	BY: JEFFREY MARGOLIN
7	ANSON FRELINGHUYSEN
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern

District of New York here this morning for an 11:00 hearing
in Genesis Global Holdco LLC, a Chapter 11 case that is
jointly administered. And we are here for a second day
hearing and there has been an amended agenda filed on the
docket for this second day hearing, I believe the right
version. It's at Docket Number 93.

And so we'll start this hearing as we do always by getting appearances, folks who expect to participate actively in terms of actually speaking during the hearing.

I realize that there are a lot of folks who are on various devices, both Zoom and the telephone, who don't expect to be heard in the sense of talk at the hearing, so we don't need appearances from them. But let's start with the Debtors.

MS. VANLARE: Good morning, Your Honor. Jane

Vanlare, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton, proposed counsel

for the Debtors. And with me also in the virtual courtroom

are my colleagues, Ms. Hoori Kim, Mr. Richard Minott, Mr.

Michael Weinberg, and Mr. Christian Ribeiro.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning to you all.

Anyone else from the Debtors? All right.

Next up, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

Page 9 1 MR. SHORE: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris Shore 2 from White & Case, proposed counsel to the Official Committee. I am here today with my partners, Greg Pesce, 3 Phil Abelson, and Colin West. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. And on 6 behalf of the Ad Hoc Group of Creditors. I know there are 7 two. So let me get those appearances. MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Brian 8 9 Rosen, Proskauer Rose LLP, on behalf of the Ad Hoc Group of 10 GGC Lenders. 11 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 12 MR. FIELDER: Good morning, Your Honor, Ross 13 Fielder of Kirkland & Ellis, on behalf of the Ad Hoc Group. 14 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. And on 15 behalf of Genesis Global Holdco LLC? All right, maybe those 16 folks don't expect to chime in this morning. 17 The same may be true or may not be true of Gemini 18 Trust Company LLC. 19 MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, apologies. 20 that, Genesis Holdco is one of the (indiscernible). 21 THE COURT: Oh, all right. All right. Well, let 22 me ask if the parent company, who is a non-debtor, wants to 23 make an appearance or not. 24 MR. SAFERSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. 25 Jeffrey Saferstein from Weil Gotshal & Manges on behalf of

Page 10 1 Digital Currency Group. 2 THE COURT: Thank you very much. All right. And on behalf of the United States Trustee's Office. 3 MR. ZIPES: Good morning, Your Honor. Greg Zipes 4 5 with the U.S. Trustee's Office. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And 7 at this point I'll throw it open for any other appearances 8 of any other party that expects that they might be heard 9 this morning. 10 MR. MARGOLIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Going 11 back to Gemini, Jeffrey Margolin, Hughes Hubbard & Reed on 12 behalf of Gemini, along with my colleague, Anson 13 Frelinghuysen. 14 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Anyone 15 else? All right. 16 Ms. Vanlare, thank you for your assist there in 17 getting this squared away properly. And with that, I will 18 turn the virtual podium over to Debtor's counsel to walk us 19 through the status and the agenda. 20 MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor. Can you hear 21 me okay? 22 THE COURT: I can hear you just fine. MS. VANLARE: Perfect. Thank you so much. 23 24 So, Your Honor, I am pleased to report that we 25 have an uncontested hearing before you this morning.

have received comments from a number of parties, most notably of course the Committee of Unsecured Creditors and the U.S. Trustee's Office. We've been able to resolve all comments. We've also gotten comments from certain other parties in interest.

We did file the proposed revised orders yesterday afternoon, all but the cash management order. That one we filed separately late last night after reaching agreement on that order with the Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

So, Your Honor, I would propose we go through the agenda. Of course we're happy to answer any questions on any of the motions. We do have all the declarants available as well should anyone have questions.

And with that, I guess I will make one additional note, which is that we did adjourn certain motions that were originally scheduled for today, and the agenda indicates that in particular the consolidated creditor's list motion and the redaction motion or the sealing motion, both of those have been adjourned to March 30th. And we've been in discussions with that U.S. Trustee in connection with the redaction issues, which of course we've previewed with Your Honor as well during our first day hearing. We're continuing those discussions. We anticipate the Committee will likely have some views on those topics. And so what we are proposing — and again, we've discussed this with the

Office of the U.S. Trustee -- is to adjourn those issues to that hearing.

And I'll note that this may mean that we will need to file additional or unredacted exhibits to some of the retention applications depending on the outcome of the redaction motions to be heard on March 30th. So I did want to make that clear.

And with that, Your Honor, I would propose that we go through the agenda in a slightly modified order, but we will go through all of the items.

So with that, I will pass the virtual podium to my colleague, Ms. Kim.

THE COURT: All right. And let me just for purposes of transparency on the record for those who may not have the most recent copy of the agenda, the consolidated creditor motion and the sealing motion are pushed off to March 30th. We also have a hearing scheduled for March 15th. And I understand that there are some things that had been adjourned to that hearing date as well. So it's probably worth just stating that on the record for purposes of disseminating information if you would be so kind.

MS. VANLARE: Of course, Your Honor. Yes. And apologies. I was going to do that later, but perhaps now is probably to make sure that everyone is aware.

Yes, there is going to be another hearing on March

15th. We have modified the cash management order to be a second interim order that we're going to ask for Your Honor's approval today, and we've adjourned the hearing on the final order on the cash management motion to March 15th. We have also adjourned the (indiscernible) for Moelis & Company. That's following a request by the Committee of Unsecured Creditors. So that too right now has been adjourned to the March 15th hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And just for purposes of being on the same page, I do have the binder of all the revised orders and the blacklines, thank you very much, that were all teed up except for the cash management, which is now as you say proposed to be a second interim. That was filed on the docket at Docket Number 94. And I have that as well. I haven't gotten a chance to take a look at that, at the blackline version to see all the changes. And my last note on that is you all sent along an update last evening about where you were and all that. And I very much appreciate the heads up on that. So thank you very much for the courtesy.

And with that, I'll turn the party back over to you.

MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. MINOTT: Good morning, Your Honor. I'll actually start with the agenda. For the record, Richard

Minott of Cleary Gottlieb, proposed counsel to the Debtors.

Your Honor, I'll start with the Debtor's motion seeking authorization to pay certain taxes and fees, which was originally filed at Docket Number 9 and is at Tab 1 of Your Honor's binder.

Your Honor, following entry of the interim order, we received informal comments from the Committee and other parties in interest, and those have all been incorporated in the revised proposed order which was filed under a certificate of no objection at Docket Number 92.

Your Honor, I'm happy to walk through the redline if it would be helpful to the Court or otherwise discuss the substance of the relief sought, which has not changed since the first-day hearing.

THE COURT: All right. I have the redlines. I've taken a look at them. They all make sense to me. I think the only one that might be worthy of having you comment is I see that there is a paragraph that snuck its way into all these orders for purposes of explaining that nothing here constitutes a certain kind of finding under federal securities law. Perhaps you want to just briefly address that paragraph.

MR. MINOTT: Sure, Your Honor. We received that comment from the SEC to include that language in all orders that may pertain to any crypto-related findings. So it's

just a reservation of rights from the SEC. There is -- I think it's more of an avoidance of doubt than anything else at this point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. I think that that's right. But I thought it would be helpful to have that context for people who might not quite know why it's in there.

All right, somebody has an open mic. And again, unless you're speaking in court, you need to mute your mic.

And we all know the potential dangers of an open mic moment.

So please make sure to do that.

And the paragraph here for this particular order is Paragraph 8. It says nothing here in connection with this motion order, final order, constitutes a finding under federal securities laws to whether crypto tokens or transactions involving from tokens of securities.

And so with that, I didn't see anything else that seemed to warrant separate comment, but Mr. Minott, do you have anything else you wanted to address in connection with the revised order?

MR. MINOTT: Nothing unless you have any questions, Your Honor. Really this is exactly the same as we discussed on the first day motion where the Debtors would like to pay an aggregate amount up to --

THE COURT: All right. Someone needs to close their microphone. And I'm going to ask somebody here in

court to assist in having that happen. So obviously we're happy to have participation. This is a public courtroom, albeit that looks somewhat unusual in what it looks like these days. I look forward to the days when we're completely fully in-person for things like this where possible. But just as you wouldn't speak out in open court in person, you should not speak out in open court unless it's your turn on Zoom of the telephone.

All right. Thank you, Mr. Minott. Let me ask if there's anybody who wishes to be heard on the request for entry of a final order authorizing the payment of certain taxes and fees.

All right, hearing nothing, I am happy to grant the requested relief as reflected in the revised final order authorizing the payment of certain taxes and fees.

And so we can move on to the next matter, Mr. Minott.

MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. Next is the Debtor's motion to pay certain critical vendors, which was originally filed at Docket Number 11 and is at Tab 3 of Your Honor's binder.

Your Honor, similar to the taxes and fees interim order, we received informal comments from the Committee and other parties in interest following entry of the interim critical vendors order. These comments too have been

Page 17 reflected in the revised proposed order filed under 1 2 certificate of no objection at Docket Number 92. Again, 3 happy to walk through the redline if we need help for Your Honor. But the relief here has remained the same since the 4 5 first day hearing. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 7 me ask if the Official Committee wants to weigh in. I know 8 this is something that the Official Committee often does 9 wish to be heard on. Mr. Shore? 10 MR. SHORE: No, we're fine, Your Honor. 11 you. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 13 Anyone else who wishes to be heard in connection with the 14 request for a final order on critical vendors? All right. 15 Having looked at the revisions and the very 16 helpful blackline, I'm happy to grant this request for a 17 final order for substantially the same reasons as I granted 18 the request for an interim order given the record here and 19 the importance of these particular payments. 20 So next up. 21 MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. Last on my 22 list is the Debtor's ordinary course professionals motion, 23 which is filed at Docket Number 65 and is at Tab 6 of Your 24 Honor's binder. 25 Your Honor, pursuant to Section 327 of the

Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors seek to establish certain procedures for the retention and compensation of certain professionals that the Debtors employ in the ordinary course of business without the need to file separate retention applications for these professionals and further seek authority to compensate these professionals without requiring individual fee applications.

Your Honor, the ordinary course professionals have extensive background, knowledge, and expertise with the debtors and their business operations and the Debtors believe the employment and compensation of these professionals is in the best interest of the Debtor's estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest.

Under the procedures, the Debtors will require that each ordinary course professional submit a declaration of disinterestedness and complete a retention questionnaire which will be filed to the docket by the Debtors and served on the U.S. Trustee, the Committee, and any other noticed parties in these cases.

Overall, the Debtors estimate the payments will not exceed \$100,000 per month per ordinary course professionals and \$300,000 for the duration of the cases.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, the Debtors respectfully request that Your Honor approve the motion and enter an order substantiating the form of the proposed

Page 19 1 revised order filed under certificate of no objection at 2 Docket Number 92. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 3 Anything from the Official Committee as to this 4 5 request? 6 MR. SHORE: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Anything from 8 any other party in connection with the request for an order 9 regarding the retention, compensation of professionals 10 utilized in the ordinary course of business? All right. 11 Hearing nothing, I am happy to approve this It's consistent with the kind of relief granted in 12 13 other cases, that is to allow the professionals who are 14 necessary for the Debtor's ongoing operations and who are 15 not bankruptcy-specific, or as they say, ordinary course 16 professionals to be utilized and it's an efficient way do 17 that. And again, it's consistent with how this issue is 18 handled in large cases. So the request is granted. 19 All right, Mr. Minott, I guess you're passing the 20 podium. Thank you very much. MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Next up. MS. KIM: Good morning, Your Honor. Hoori Kim, 23 Cleary Gottlieb, proposed counsel for the Debtors. Can you 24 25 hear me well?

THE COURT: Yes. I can hear you just fine. Thank
you.

MS. KIM: Great. Next up on the agenda we are going to go to Agenda Number 3, Binder 4. It's the wages motion and the final order.

We received no formal objections to the proposed final order for the wages motion. The revised final order, which was filed yesterday with the certificate of no objection, has been reviewed by and reflects comments from the Office of the U.S. Trustee, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee, and the Securities and Exchange Commission as well, which includes that language that we discussed earlier about the representation regarding the securities.

We're happy to go through the changes to the order if that would be helpful. If not, we're happy to answer any questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I just had one comment. I see that in the revised version, Paragraph 2, at the very end there's language added -- well, let me back up. The context obviously is right that you grant wages up to the statutory cap, the idea being that those wages are priority and would have to be paid anyway first in line because of the nature of them and that there's a cap on that in the Bankruptcy Code itself. And so that's what these orders always say, which makes sense. And that anything beyond

that, there's a specific request for. So in this Paragraph 2, it says provided the Debtor shall obtain the Committee's prior written consent, email shall suffice, prior to paying or honoring any prepetition wages or benefits that exceed the statutory cap set forth in Sections 507(a)(4) and (a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code. And I think I flag that just to the extent that it might imply that that could be done without court approval, because obviously those are the wages that are above the statutory cap. We might be talking about an entirely hypothetical issue. I don't know. So I just wanted to flag that, that that's just obviously subject to further order -- would be required subject to a further order of the Court upon request. MS. KIM: Understood, Your Honor. We can incorporate that language in that paragraph as well when we submit the proposed order. THE COURT: All right. That's great. And I'm sure you can say it more artfully than I just said it, but I think you get the gist. MR. ZIPES: Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. It is my understanding that there are few if any payments that would be made above the cap. THE COURT: Yeah. And that's often the case. So it's a more hypothetical issue oftentimes. But just in an

abundance of caution. So thank you, Mr. Zipes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 22 1 All right. Let me ask if there's anything from 2 the Committee in connection with this proposed request. 3 MR. SHORE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Shore. 5 Anything from any other party in connection with 6 this request for a final order on wages and related relief? 7 All right. Hearing nothing, I am happy to approve 8 the proposed final order on wages for substantially the same 9 reasons as it was approved on an interim basis as consistent 10 with applicable law and appropriate under the facts and 11 circumstances of the case. 12 So, Ms. Kim, next up? 13 MS. KIM: Thank you, Your Honor. And we would 14 just like to note that we'll incorporate that language about 15 court approval. And at this time, we're actually not really 16 expecting any payments above the statutory cap, but if there 17 are any, we will of course obtain the consent of the Committee and the Court. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. And that's fine. And 20 obviously I'm not saying that such payments might not be 21 appropriate. We would just deal with them as the need 22 arises. 23 MS. KIM: Understood, Your Honor. Next on the agenda is Agenda Item 6, which is the 24 25 application to employ Clearly Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton as

Page 23 1 counsel for the Debtors. It's also in Binder 7, Binder Tab 2 7. We believe that the application is uncontested, 3 Your Honor. We received no formal objections. 4 5 application has been reviewed by and incorporates comments 6 from the U.S. Trustee and the Unsecured Creditors Committee. 7 Separately, as Ms. Vanlare addressed earlier, we would like 8 to note that the issues around the confidentiality and 9 disclosure of the names of certain parties in interest are 10 still pending per the Debtor's sealing motion filed at ECF 11 67, which will be heard on March 30th. We believe that this does not affect the entry of 12 13 the order in connection with the application being presented 14 today and the U.S. Trustee's office agrees with us. But we 15 wanted to make a note of this at the hearing. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MS. KIM: Unless you have any questions, Your 18 Honor, we ask that you would approve the application and enter the proposed order. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 21 Mr. Zipes, anything from the United States 22 Trustee's Office on this application? 23 MR. ZIPES: No, Your Honor. We appreciate the 24 representation, and that's accurate. 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Anything from any other party in connection with this application?

All right, hearing nothing, I am happy to approve the request to employ and retain Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP as counsel for the Debtors and debtors in possession nunc pro tunc to the petition date as entirely appropriate. And having looked at the order, I find the order to be appropriate as well. And so that's granted obviously with the understanding and already on the record that any sealing issues will be dealt with separately.

I did look once again at Judge Garrity's opinion dealing with some of these issues in advance of today. It sounds like we're not there yet to have a discussion. So I won't wade into those waters in the interest of not upsetting the apple cart in terms of any discussions. But I'll just mention it now just to the extent that if I can ever be of any assistance before people start -- if people might otherwise file papers, feel free to reach out to chambers and we can have a discussion. Obviously you all have an interest in handling issues as efficiently as possible.

So with that, Ms. Kim, next up.

MS. KIM: Great. We appreciate that, Your Honor.

Thank you so much. And I will pass the virtual podium to my colleague, Mr. Ribeiro.

Page 25 1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Kim. 2 Counsel? You're on mute. The joys of the COVID world 3 strike again. MR. RIBEIRO: That should work. Can you hear me 4 5 now? 6 THE COURT: Yes. I can hear you now. 7 MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. Christian 8 Ribeiro, Clearly Gottlieb, proposed counsel to the Debtors. 9 I will be presenting today the Debtor's motion to authorize 10 procedures for interim compensation and reimbursement of 11 expenses for professionals. And that's at ECF 64. It should be Binder Tab 5. 12 13 The Debtors pursuant to this motion 14 (indiscernible) order attached as Exhibit A to the motion 15 pursuant to Sections 105 and 330 of the Bankruptcy Code 16 establishing procedures for the monthly allowance and 17 payment of compensation and reimbursement of expenses and 18 professionals who have been -- whose retention was 19 authorized pursuant to -- by the Court today. 20 In short, the procedures provide for the filing of 21 a monthly statement showing the expenses and fees incurred 22 and will also provide procedures for the filing of interim 23 fee applications at four-month intervals throughout the 24 case. 25 The interim compensation motion is unopposed.

incorporates comments received from the U.S. Trustee's

Office as well as the UCC. And we filed the certificate of
no objection. The revised order is attached as Exhibit D to
that notice. Unless Your Honor has any questions, we submit
that the (indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any party wish to heard in connection with this request? All right. Hearing no response, I am happy to approve the request for an order establishing procedures for interim compensation reimbursement of expenses of professionals.

The proposed order here -- and indeed this request is consistent with how these sort of matters are dealt with in large cases, and the order is entirely appropriate. So that is approved.

Next up.

MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. Next is the application to employe Kroll Restructuring Administration as administrative advisor to the Debtors. That's at ECF 72 and it's Binder Tab 9.

At the first day hearing, we sought an order retaining Kroll as claims and noticing agent pursuant to Section 156(c) of the Bankruptcy Code. This motion seeks entry of an order authorizing their retention in pursuit of duties inside the scope of their duty as an administrative advisor. We seek entry of an order attached as Exhibit A to

Page 27 the motion. This motion is also unopposed. We filed the certificate of no objection. It's attached as Exhibit H to the notice. Unless Your Honor has any questions, we seek entry of the order attached as Exhibit A to the motion. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I do not have any questions. Any party wish to be heard in connection with this request for an order authorizing the employment and retention of Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC? MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. My office has no objection to the entry of the order. Again, we would note that we're in discussions with Kroll on various issues. Kroll is a part of a bigger entity and is involved with other crypto cases, among other things. So we're in discussions about disclosure issues and other issues. But for the purposes of this case right now, we have no objection to the order being entered. THE COURT: All right. Anyone else wish to be heard? All right. I'm happy to approve the request for an order authorizing the retention or its retention for purposes of this case as administrative advisor nunc pro tunc to the

petition date. Obviously this kind of service is important

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in a large case like this and consistent with the usual way these matters are handled. And the order that's proposed is entirely appropriate. So that request is granted. Next up? MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. Last is the application to employ Alvarez & Marsal North America as financial advisors to the Debtor. That's at ECF 73 and is Binder Tab 10. We filed this motion to seek entry of an order attached as Exhibit C to the motion authorizing the employment and retention of A&M as financial advisors to the debtor. They were retained initially on November 11th and they've been providing assistance to the Debtor with respect to the management of the overall restructuring process, development of ongoing business and financial plans, and supporting restructuring negotiations among the Debtors and their parties of interest. The application is unopposed. We filed the certificate of no objection yesterday. The revised proposed order is attached as Exhibit I to the certificate and incorporates comments received by the UCC and the U.S. Trustee. Unless Your Honor has any questions, we submit that entry of the proposed order is appropriate here. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with this request? All right. Hearing no responses, I'm happy to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

grant the request as appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case and applicable law. Obviously the services of a financial advisor are appropriate in a case like this and are consistent with how large cases operate.

And so I'm happy to approve this request and find the order to be entirely appropriate. So that is granted.

Next up?

MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. I will be turning the virtual podium over to my colleague, Michael Weinberg.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WEINBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Michael Weinberg, Clearly Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton, proposed counsel for the Debtors.

THE COURT: All right. Good to see you.

MR. WEINBERG: Good to see you. I'll be presenting two more of the retention applications. The first of these is the retention application for Morris & Cohen as the Debtor's special counsel in these Chapter 11 cases, which application was filed at Docket Number 71.

In support of the application, we have on the line Jason Gottlieb and Paul Aronzon, who submitted declarations attached as Exhibits A and B to the application. We filed the revised proposed order at Docket 92 that reflects minor comments from the Creditors' Committee. The application is

otherwise unopposed. And unless Your Honor has any questions, we ask that you approve the application.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with this application?

Hearing no response, I am happy to grant the application as appropriate under the facts and circumstances.

application as appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case and applicable law and again consistent with the kind of services that are appropriate and necessary in a case of this size. Thank you very much.

MR. WEINBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. And then the final retention application scheduled for today's hearing is the application of Kobre & Kim as special litigation counsel. And that application was filed at Docket 70. And in support of that application, we have on the line Danielle Rose and Paul Aronzon, again, whose declarations were attached to the application as Exhibits B and C.

As with the other retention applications, we filed a revised proposed order at Docket 92. And that again reflects minor comments we received from the Creditors

Committee. No objection to the application were filed, and we believe the application is uncontested.

Once again, unless Your Honor has any questions, we ask that you approve the Kobre & Kim application.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any

party wish to be heard as to this request? Again, hearing no response, I'm happy to approve the request as appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case, and the order is appropriate, so I will enter it once we are concluded here today. Thank you very much.

MR. WEINBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. With that,

I will cede the virtual podium to my colleague, Ms. Vanlare.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, the last on today's agenda is the cash management motion. As I mentioned earlier in the hearing, we are seeking entry of a second interim cash management order. We did receive an objection from the Committee that we have resolved. And as I mentioned earlier, we did file a revised proposed order late yesterday reflecting changes.

I am happy to walk through the blackline and highlight some of the changes that we've made and otherwise answer any questions Your Honor may have.

THE COURT: All right. I think for this

particular order it does make sense to walk through the

significant changes because it addresses the kind of things

that we were discussing at the first day hearing. So I'll

turn it back to you to do exactly that. Thank you.

MS. VANLARE: Very happy to do that, Your Honor. So I am going to be referencing the blackline that was attached to the proposed order that we filed last night.

That's Docket 94. And I'll highlight kind of the key changes that we've made and of course am happy to answer questions.

First, as we mentioned earlier in the hearing, this interim order is meant to take us through to the next hearing in this case, which has been scheduled for March 15th at 2:00 p.m., at which point we anticipate seeking a final order on the cash management motion.

The first set of substantive issues addressed is in Paragraph 3. And I'll highlight some of these.

First, Your Honor, we have tweaked the language here regarding the continuation of intercompany transactions, and in particular transactions among debtors and non-debtors. Here, the order provides that the Debtors are permitted to continue to perform intercompany transactions we note as expressly provided for herein. And I'll just preview later on in the blackline we have agreed to limit the intercompany transactions during this interim period to a cap of \$750,000. That does not include the spot trading activities for gap that we discussed previously. But other intercompany transactions are subject to that cap between now and March 15th.

Paragraph 3 also has some tweaks to the language relating to investment practices. As before, here the Debtors are permitted to continue to deposit and invest

funds in accordance with the Debtor's investment practices. We've also added that they may do so, or to invest in U.S. treasuries notwithstanding Section 345(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. We've added language here, the provided language that the Debtors shall consult with the Committee with respect to any investments or staking of funds other than the U.S. Treasury bonds including cryptocurrency collateral.

We have also added here, as is customary, certain reporting obligations, additional reporting obligations for the Debtor. In particular here in Paragraph 3, we provide that the Debtors will provide to the Committee a 13-week budget setting forth the Debtor's anticipated disbursements and expenditures as well as agreeing to provide a cash variance report reflecting variances to that budget on a weekly basis to the Committee. We have also agreed to provide a reasonable access to current records of intercompany balances to the advisors for the Committee.

We have added -- I am now moving to Paragraph 4 of the blackline. We've added language again incorporating comments received that nothing in this order shall constitute a determination of whether any property is property of the estate, nor is this a determination of the validity of any intercompany claims. All rights are reserved.

Paragraph 5, this is what I alluded to earlier in

my discussion of Paragraph 3 where we make clear that the Debtors are permitted to continue to perform intercompany transactions. However, they are subject to that \$750,000 cap that I mentioned earlier unless the Debtors receive prior written consent from the Committee or its counsel.

The next set of changes is to the new paragraph 7.

It has to do with cryptocurrency assets and their

maintenance as well as certain reporting obligations. So
here some of the changes make clear that the Debtors are

authorized to continue to maintain and manage their

cryptocurrency assets in the course of ongoing spot trading
as well as to ensure that the cryptocurrency assets are

safely stored, consistent with historical practices.

However, we have agreed to provide to the advisors to the Committee certain summary reports on a weekly basis setting forth both cash and cryptocurrency holdings. And once a month we will be filing that same report as part of our monthly operating report so that we have that information available for all parties in interest. We have also agreed to provide a detailed report of all of the holdings to the Committee as per their request.

There is also language here making clear that the Debtors are not permitted to convert cryptocurrency from one type to another, to move any cryptocurrencies or digital assets, to stake or invest any cryptocurrency assets, or to

liquidate or convert cryptocurrencies into cash without obtaining consent of the Committee or pursuant to a further order from this Court after notice and hearing. And so this paragraph makes clear that, again, other than the spot trading at gap, which we previously discussed, the Debtors are not permitted to engage in intercompany transactions involving cryptocurrency assets.

The next change, Your Honor, is at Paragraph 9.

We've extended the waiver (indiscernible) until March 29th,

consistent with our discussions with the Office of the U.S.

Trustee. We are continuing to have discussions and are

trying to resolve issues relating to our compliance with

Section 345 and are appreciative of the work that has been

done by the Office of the U.S. Trustee to help arrive at a

solution that makes sense for these cases.

The next change, Your Honor, is at Paragraph 14.

We've previously agreed to provide notice of opening or closing any bank accounts. We have clarified that we must do so within 15 days and we also need to give notice of any material changes to the cash management system and procedures to the Office of the U.S. Trustee and to advisors to the Committee.

Paragraph 15, I believe that's just a minor tweak.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. VANLARE: Non-substantive. Paragraph 17.

This language was added. Aging, this is more of a reservation of rights type language that we've added in response to comments that we received that this order is not intended to constitute the admission or waiver or promise or requirement to pay any claims or any sort of admission or approval of contracts or, again, waiver of any sort of limitations of the Debtors, the committees, or any other parties (indiscernible) respective rights. I think this language is customary and is meant to clarify that, again, the order is limited to the relief that's described and is not intended to do any of the things described in (indiscernible).

Paragraph 18 has some of the similar language and it's been expanded to include the Committee and other parties in interest. Paragraph 19, this language, as with the other orders that we've previously described, was added in response to a comment received by the SEC. And again, is meant to make clear that this order is not intended to make any findings relating to federal securities laws or whether or not they constitute securities and to make clear that the rights of the SEC are reserved along with the rights of the Committee and the parties in interest.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Anything else, Ms. Vanlare, in connection with the revised proposed second interim order?

MS. VANLARE: Nothing further, Your Honor. With that, we ask that Your Honor enter the order --

THE COURT: You're breaking up a little bit, Ms.

Vanlare, but I took your -- I took your next comment to be unless I had anything else, then we're ready to circle the virtual room, which I will first turn to the Committee. Mr. Shore, anything from the Committee in connection with this requested relief?

MR. SHORE: Yeah. Very briefly, Your Honor.

Obviously it's not uncommon to have a subsidiary of a parent filed for Chapter 11 and not bring in all the sister corporations. And there is an issue that arises with respect to ongoing post-petition activity between the businesses. And typically we come to a commercial resolution as to how those debtors and non-debtors are going to interact during the case and protect the debtors who are under the jurisdiction of the court.

Given the timing of everything going on, the

Committee just wasn't able to get sufficient information to

even understand the ways in which the sister corporations

interacted with the Debtors. And Ms. Vanlare laid it out

accurately. What we are seeking now is a whole slate of

information that will allow us more transparency into those

relationships and if acceptable come to some sort of

arrangement that allows that intercompany activity to

continue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If it's acceptable to the Court at the end of the hearing, I would like to talk about the Committee's views more generally since we weren't here on the first days. But Ms. Vanlare has accurately laid out the deal we have cut with respect to this. Hopefully we get the information quickly. Hopefully that information validates the business relationship and the fairness to the Debtors, and hopefully we can come to a consensual resolution. We just weren't able to given the data set we had at the time.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. That all makes a lot of sense.

Any other party that wishes to be heard on this particular request for entry of a second interim order?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. And we have no objection to the entry of this interim order, and we would just echo some of what the Committee just stated in terms of getting information. My office has also been requesting this information, and we do appreciate the Debtor's cooperation in that regard.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Anyone else who wishes to be heard?

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Vanlare.

MS. VANLARE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. I

just wanted to add a couple of points in response to and to add to what Mr. Shore said.

First, in terms of the exchange of information, I did want to share with the Court that we have been and are very much committed to continuing to share and provide information to the Committee. We've provided access to a data room containing substantial numbers of documents and will continue to share information with the Committee to facilitate a collaborative process going forward.

And secondly, just in terms of the transactions between the debtors and the certain of their non-debtor affiliates such as the global trading and particular just in terms of the overall context under the terms sheet that we had filed reflecting a proposed terms for restructuring for these cases. We do think it's important to remember that the unsecured creditors will be monetizing, either through a sale or through ownership, subsidiaries of Genesis Global Holdco who are non-debtors. So it's all kind of connected. And as I mentioned, we do expect to continue working collaboratively with the Committee and other parties in interest to bring these cases to a resolution.

THE COURT: All right. Yeah, I took Mr. Shore's comment to basically be that he ran out of time to get to a more permanent-looking solution. And that's not uncommon.

And I look forward to seeing the results of your continued

collaboration and cooperation. And that's the kind of thing that makes these cases work. So, great. But I appreciate everyone's comments on that. All right.

With that, I do appreciate the explanation, Ms.

Vanlare, as well as the context of the Committee in

addressing the kind of issues that we're talking about in

the first day hearing and that sort of are inevitable, the

kinds of things that we have to work through in cases in

their early stages. So that's all been helpful and

informative to me as well.

So with all that said, let me ask if there's any other party that wishes to be heard on this second interim order. All right.

I am happy to grant the request to enter the revised proposed second interim order dealing with cash management systems and all the related relief as being appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case and applicable law. Again, I appreciate everybody's hard work and communication and cooperation to move these cases forward on these kinds of issues which are obviously crucial to the Debtor continuing to operate appropriately as well as to, as Ms. Vanlare notes, sort of the endgame for these cases and where people see them going. So this is granted.

And with that, Ms. Vanlare, what else do we need to discuss here this morning?

MS. VANLARE: We don't have anything further, Your
Honor. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. I know Mr. Shore had wanted to have an opportunity as the Committee to address the Court. So, Mr. Shore, the podium is yours.

MR. SHORE: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

Again, Chris Shore from White & Case as proposed counsel to the Official Committee. And I welcome Your Honor allowing to me to make the kind of second day presentation from a committee to give you a sense of how we're looking at the case right now and to give you an expectation maybe of where things might be going.

As Your Honor can expect, over the last two weeks we have been getting up to speed very quickly along with Houlihan Lokey as proposed banker and BRG as proposed financial advisor to the Committee.

And given that, as Ms. Vanlare noted, there is a term sheet on file, we've been in constant dialogue, both within the Committee, with the Debtors, and with our constituency regarding that terms sheet and the path of these cases. It's still early days, but there's sort of three key themes that seem to be emerging: transparency, velocity, and accountability.

With respect to transparency, look, we all understand there's a tension between the nature of digital

assets and the goldfish bowl of Chapter 11, particularly
with respect to the security of assets and the security of
information. But we really are of the view that the whole
case doesn't need to be in the black box that some other
crypto cases have existed in. And that transparency plays
out, as Ms. Vanlare noted, first with the Debtor. It was a
little choppy at the beginning. Information is flowing.
There are issues that are going to need to be addressed
(indiscernible).

From our perspective, there's a direct relation
between the amount of transparency and the speed with which
the case (indiscernible).

In that term sheet, there are also parties who are
seeking to compromise their claims with the Debtors. As far

In that term sheet, there are also parties who are seeking to compromise their claims with the Debtors. As far as transparency, we end up having to default to Rule 7026 to get things done in relation --

THE COURT: Hold on a second, Mr. Shore. You're breaking up a little bit. I can still follow you and understand you, but I want to make sure that that's the case for everybody else.

Ms. Vanlare, can you hear everything Mr. Shore is saying?

MS. VANLARE: I was in a similar boat to Your Honor where I could hear, but it sounded like Mr. Shore was disappearing a bit at the end.

Pg 43 of 56 Page 43 THE COURT: All right. I'd like to say I know the source of when this happens and why it happens, but I would be lying if I said that because I don't know, speaking of black boxes. So, Mr. Shore, what I would ask is if you continue and if for some reason it becomes a serious problem that I think would affect your ability to get your message out to the wider group, I will wave at you to save your oxygen. But we'll be mindful of it. And with that, I will turn the podium back over to you. MR. SHORE: Thank you, Your Honor. And obviously we're going to be seeing a lot of each other over the coming months, so we don't have to get (indiscernible). I just wanted to kind of give you (indiscernible) of where we're going. But what I was saying when it seemed like (indiscernible), I mean, there are parties that (indiscernible) claims with the Debtors and --THE COURT: All right. I'm going to wave now because I don't -- we are definitely having a transmission I would like to say it's not the court, but I again don't know. It's above my pay grade, actually. So give me one second.

Shore, we're going to give it one more shot. Maybe the

All right. So here's what I'm going to do. Mr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Pq 44 of 56 Page 44 second time is the charm. If for some reason that doesn't work, I will pause the hearing and the Court will log off and log back on with the hope that that's a shorter, more efficient, and less painful remedy than having everybody else have to log off and log back on. And I will say as a preview, I am hoping in the not-too-distant future to have hearings that are essentially hybrid where folks come into court who expect to make presentations, that they're welcome to be here and that other folks are remote. But we're not there quite today. So hence our little sideshow here. So, Mr. Shore, we're going to give it one more shot. And if I wave you off, then I will log back on and off. And I hope we don't get there. Mr. Shore? MR. SHORE: And to the extent this may be on my end, why don't I turn off my video with Your Honor's permission and --THE COURT: Absolutely. That's a good option. Thank you very much. MR. SHORE: All right. Look, I think where I am is with respect to the parties who are seeking to have their claims with the Debtors compromised under the (indiscernible) we're going to have to default to (indiscernible) have a direct impact (indiscernible), which

we are (indiscernible).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Finally, with respect to --

video. I think it's something beyond that. So I hate to do this to everybody, and I beg your indulgence and patience and good humor. So we're going to as a court log off and log back on with the hope that, as we all know, the most magical cure-all in the technical world is the on and off button. Maybe that will help reset whatever bedevils us at the moment. It's also an efficient and short way for me to do it rather than everybody else worry about it.

So give me probably 90 seconds for us to do that, and we'll take it from there. So thank you for your patience.

All right. Good afternoon once again. We're back. And if for some reason this doesn't work, I'll ask Mr. Shore to actually abandon Zoom and just call in on the telephone number. We find that that's the option of last resort that seems to always work.

But, Mr. Shore, with that I'll turn the podium back over to you, recognizing that this is exactly the context in which you wanted to deliver your comments here this morning, and I appreciate your good humor and patience.

So, Mr. Shore?

MR. SHORE: Thank you, Your Honor. I am actually dialed into the Zoom now too. So if this audio isn't

working, I can just convert over quickly. So just wave your arm if I'm not coming through. Okay? All right.

I think for the third time where I was was, look,

there are a number of people under the terms sheet who are seeking to compromise their claims with the Debtors.

Perfectly fine. But the Committee intends to exercise its rights to (indiscernible). And if we have to rely on Rule 7026 to get things done, it's going to slow the process

Finally, transparency with respect to creditors.

One thing we're finding in other crypto (indiscernible) has an appetite for information that goes beyond (indiscernible) disclosure statements. We've already started some social media processes to get information out to people and look forward to working with the Debtors to make sure that if (indiscernible) want to move quickly and they want (indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Shore, I'm going to ask you to go to the telephone. I'm getting the gist of what you say, but I don't -- at the risk of slowing things down, I want to make sure that you're well-heard by everyone.

MR. SHORE: Thank you, Your Honor. Can you hear me now?

THE COURT: Yes. And just turn off your Zoom so we remove any echo. And, frankly, I'm nothing much to look

down.

at personally, so you're not giving up anything. As long as we can hear you, we're good to go.

MR. SHORE: Very good, Your Honor.

So the last piece on transparency that I was talking about is ensuring that the creditor community here, which has an interest as we've seen in other cases beyond just reviewing SOPAs and the disclosure statements to make sure people are getting information, particularly if the idea here is to build creditor consensus around a rapid exit. To that end, the Committee itself has started some outreach through social media and we look forward to working with the Debtors to see if we can't get them engaged in as well, consistent with the goal of protecting the Debtor's sensitive information.

With respect to velocity, we understand what the Debtors want to do and when they want to do it. But as I said, consistent with the Committee's duties, we intend to form views with respect to the terms sheet. Our constituency wants it quickly and we're focused, laserfocused really, on the viability of the structure and the economic recovery. So now the whole team is full steam ahead on plan diligence, particularly determining the appropriate exit structure, investigating claims and determining what has to be settled now to have a viable business going forward and what doesn't, trying to

rationalize tax and distribution schemes so that our creditors' all-in recoveries are maximized to the fullest extent possible. We are getting the message loud and clear. I think the Court is seeing it from correspondence that's hit the docket. I know the Debtors are getting it. The creditors want their crypto back and they want it yesterday.

At the same time though, it's clear that unsecured creditors are not interested in any deal for, you know a deal's sake. The plan needs to be held to account. There have been some published views, really speculation about distributable value in the case. All of that needs significant diligence into a ton of variables, including the availability of payment-in-kind distributions and dollarization, valuation of (indiscernible) currency, sale of the business, the reorganization of all or parts of the Debtors, sizing of the claim pools, tracing and recovery of prepetition transfers, assessment of the potential settlements on claims that have been disclosed, claims that haven't been disclosed. There needs to be a real account. We're hearing loud and clear from the creditors, from those who contributed to the problem.

We also -- and I'm sure Your Honor has already
heard a bunch of this -- need to keep in mind and mitigate
the risk to the deal structure or any deal structure in the
crypto space, including regulatory oversight and

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

involvement, ongoing investigations, the possibility of further crypto contagion, potential cash constrictions. Our view is a term sheet is only in a deal, even one that sets forth a perfect distribution scheme, has no worth if it can't be executed consistent with the Code. And so we're looking to work with the Debtors to see if we can't find a plan and distribution scheme that gets unsecured creditors paid in full if possible. And if not, to get every dollar that can be paid to them.

So I think, Your Honor, hopefully it will be inperson. But if it has to be by Zoom, it will be by Zoom.

We'll see a small part of that play out in court. But
please know the bulk of our work for now is going to be
behind the scenes, trying to reach consensus where we can as
we did with virtually all of the second day motions. And,
you know, consistent with our approach in past cases, we'll
only be involving Your Honor when we can't get to
resolutions that are consistent with the goals of
transparency, the velocity, and accountability. And thank
you. I'm sorry for the technical snafu there.

THE COURT: No, not at all. Not at all. Thank you for the comments. It's appreciated. The phone was a significant improvement. And thank you for your flexibility.

So with that, let me canvass the virtual room and

see if anybody else wishes to be heard, and then I'll turn it back to the Debtors for any final thoughts before we adjourn.

Anyone else who wishes to be heard?

MR. ROSEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Brian Rosen from Proskauer Rose. May I go -- thank you, sir.

We appreciate Mr. Shore's comments. Since the Committee appointed or retained White & Case as counsel and Houlihan, we've been attempting to work with them to convey some of our thoughts with respect to the term sheet. We are still working with the Debtors and with DCG on the term sheet itself as well as a plan support agreement that would be consistent with it and as laid out in the term sheet.

We are hoping to move forward with that expeditiously. We understand that there are some tax issues. We in fact have engaged with the White & Case tax team to let the -- and with the Proskauer team and with others to coordinate with respect to the best structure going forward.

Mr. Shore did briefly mention two issues, the dollarization and in-kind. These are very important issues which probably are issues that the drafters of the Bankruptcy Code didn't take into account when they were thinking about the crypto world, Your Honor. And these are issues that we believe we're going to have to bring forward

to the Court at some point in time because that is what's critically important to our constituency, which I've told the Court before holds in excess of \$1.6 billion of the outstanding claims against the Debtor's estates.

So we continue to work with White & Case. We'll continue to work with Houlihan Lokey, who did serve as our financial advisor before moving over to the unsecured creditors' committee. But I just wanted the Court to be aware that we are still progressing with the Debtors and with DCG along the lines of the term sheet and the plan support agreement. And to the extent that the UCC can provide some needed firepower in that regard, we look forward to integrating their thoughts into the process.

Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Let me ask if there's anybody else who wishes to be heard.

MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, Sean O'Neal, Clearly

Gottlieb, for the Debtors unless any of the creditors would

like to add any additional color.

THE COURT: It doesn't appear so, Mr. O'Neal. The floor is yours.

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you. And, Your Honor, I hadn't planned on speaking today, but just wanted to respond briefly to Mr. Shore's comments and Mr. Rosen's comments.

We very much appreciate the focus on transparency,

velocity, and accountability. I think those are very much in keeping with the standards and our own goals that we have applied in this case. We would add to that efficiency. Efficiency is important here. Every dollar that is spent on professionals is a dollar that does not go to creditors.

And so, Your Honor, we take our stewardship very seriously.

Since November 16th when we put in the pause, we put in the pause for one reason, so that we could treat our creditors fairly and equitably. And that's going to continue to be exactly what we try to do in this case.

And I think as is clear from the term sheet, we have given all we can as debtors. As part of the term sheet, we have given all of our assets, we have given the right to equitize, and the right to sell our assets. So I think as Debtors, we have given virtually everything that we possibly could to our creditors.

There are three sources of recoveries in this case. Those sources of recoveries kind of set us apart -- or I should say three sources of recoveries that set us apart from other cases. Of course we have our assets, cash and crypto. All crypto cases have that. What sets us apart is we have additional assets. We have our subsidiaries that are non-debtors. We also have GGT, which is a sister company that DCG has offered to contribute. In addition, we have potential access, as is clear in the term sheet that

we've negotiated, to assets from DCG and assets from Gemini.

Those are important assets to us, and we are very much

pursuing those. And we appreciate the Committee's attempts

to assist us in that effort.

I would also say, Your Honor, that we are pleased that we are continuing to work on the terms sheet and working with the Ad Hoc Group, which does represent more than \$2 billion in claims in terms of pooling together a plan support agreement as Mr. Rosen has said. And we are committed to doing that. And we are also committed to our fiduciary (indiscernible).

As you know, the special committee has launched an investigation. It is a very credible investigation. It is led by Lev Dassin, who is part of our enforcement team at Clearly and is a former acting U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York. He and his team are continuing that investigation and we are continuing to report on the efforts of that investigation to the special committee.

And with that, Your Honor, I will just pause and offer to answer any questions. But we do appreciate all of the efforts and we have pledge and will continue to work with the Committee and all creditors as we try to get through this process efficiently with velocity and accountability and transparency. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And I will just say this for purposes of putting people at ease. There is no one for anyone to defend their honor either of their actions or of their proposed course of action. At this time, we are not there yet. And obviously there's a lot of fine professionals on the phone that I can -- on Zoom. I can say that from prior experience having had the privilege of presiding in this court for more than 12 years. So I look forward to all the wonderful things that you all will do collectively. And with that, let me ask if there's anything else that we need to address before we adjourn here today. All right. Ms. Vanlare, I just want to confirm we have -- so we have the two dates, the 15th through the 30th, and that you don't need any other dates. Or if for some reason something comes up and you do, you'll just reach out to chambers. MS. VANLARE: That's right, Your Honor. Thank you very much. THE COURT: All right. With that, thank you very much to everyone, and look forward to seeing you in a few In the meantime, be well and good luck in your conversations and communications. Thank you so much. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:22 PM)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Pg 55 0f 56		
		Page 55	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5			
6	Taxes and Fees Motion Granted	16	13
7	Critical Vendors Motion Granted 1	7	16
8	Ordinary Course professionals Motion Granted	19	12
9	Cleary Gottlieb Retention Motion Granted	24	8
10	Kroll Retention Motion Granted	28	3
11	Alvarez & Marsal Employment Motion Granted	29	1
12	Morris & Cohen Retention Motion Granted	30	5
13	Kobre & Kim Retention Motion Granted	31	2
14	Cash Management Motion Granted	40	14
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 56 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Songa M. deslarshi Hydl 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: February 24, 2023